

# PDG Computing Review

The RPP Programs

# Outline

- Overview
- Sample Program descriptions
  - The fit program
  - The printr program
- Summary

# Overview

- Programs and utilities are used to generate the RPP book from the data in the database.
  - 24 programs do most of the work of generating the book
  - 30 utilities (shell scripts that use the database) have a minor role and will not be discussed further.

# Program functions

- Programs serve several different functions
  - Producing RPP in TeX format. (10 programs)
  - Producing plots for RPP (4 programs)
  - Making calculations and updating the database (4 programs)
  - Monitoring the book production (4 programs)
  - Other programs (2 programs)

# Program structure

- Programming language
  - Programs are mostly written in Fortran
  - A few subroutines are written in C but are Fortran callable.
  - Everything compiles and runs without modification on most platforms including SunOS and Linux
- Lines of code
  - Common librarys      28k active code 25k comments
  - Individual programs 28k active code 13k comments

# Database connection

- Programs use SQL and mostly do not care which database engine is used
  - Branch on database engine in about 20 places.
- Programs connect to the database using a small library
  - Written in C but Fortran callable
  - Have versions for Oracle and for PostgreSQL
  - Easy to add another database engine
- Lines of code
  - Oracle            900 active code 500 comments
  - PostgreSQL 1200 active code 500 comments

# The fit program

- Does a constrained fit to a group of overdetermined nodes
- Overall structure
  - Get list of available fits and decide which fits to perform.
  - Process each fit that is to be performed
    - Get input data from the database
    - Do the least squares fit (no database involvement here)
    - Put results into the database.

# Fit – determine which fits to perform

- Get list of possible fits and their algorithm from FIT\_CONTROL1 table
- Ask user which fits to perform.



# Fit – get input data (I)

- Get type of algorithm from FIT\_CONTROL1 table.
  - 'BR'            Branching ratio (must sum to one)
  - 'MASS'        Mass fit (no summation requirement)
  - 'IGNORE'    Do not process the fit
- Get all nodes that participate in the fit from FIT\_CONTROL2 table
- Determine type of data from the TREE table.
- Get initial values for each node from the FIT\_SEED table.

# Fit – get input data (II)

- Get relations between the nodes from the RELATIONSHIP table
- For each node get all the measurements from the MEASUREMENT table.
- Get correlations between measurements of different nodes from the CORRELATION table.

# Fit – do the actual least squares fit

- Do a basic least squares fit to determine the value of the parameters and nodes adjusting the input errors for measurements with asymmetric errors
- Discard any very poor measurements and refit
- For each measurement with asymmetric errors move the measurement by one standard deviation and refit to determine the errors on the fitted parameters
- Refit to calculate the scale factors for the errors

# Fit – Update database with results

- Delete old values for RESULT\_SUMMARY and FIT\_CORRELATION\_MATRIX tables
- For each node, insert new values into the RESULT\_SUMMARY table
- Update general information in the FIT\_CONTROL1 table
  - Number of parameters
  - Number of measurements
  - Chi square
- Update correlation matrix in the FIT\_CORRELATION\_MATRIX table

# The printr program

- Generates the listings (input to TeX)
- Overall structure
  - Get the starting and ending nodes from the user
  - Traverse the tree table to get a list of all the nodes to be process in the order in which they are to be processed
  - Process each node.

# Printr – processing a node

- Write heading lines for the beginning of banners and particles
- For data nodes
  - Write a header for the node
  - Process the data block
  - Leave space for an ideogram if one is to be included
- For partial mean life or partial decay nodes
  - Generate a table of partial decay modes
  - Write information about any fits involving the node
- At the end of a particle write out footnotes and references

# PDG listings – Lambda mass



$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^+)$  Status: \* \* \* \*

NODE=S018

We have omitted some results that have been superseded by later experiments. See our earlier editions.

NODE=S018

## $\Lambda$ MASS

NODE=S018205

The fit uses  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Sigma^+$ ,  $\Sigma^0$ ,  $\Sigma^-$  mass and mass-difference measurements.

NODE=S018205

VALUE (MeV)	EVTs	DOCUMENT ID	TECH	COMMENT
<b>1115.683 ± 0.006 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>1115.683 ± 0.006 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
1115.678 ± 0.006 ± 0.006	20k	HARTOUNI	94	SPEC $pp$ 27.5 GeV/c
1115.690 ± 0.008 ± 0.006	18k	<sup>1</sup> HARTOUNI	94	SPEC $pp$ 27.5 GeV/c
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1115.59 ± 0.08	935	HYMAN	72	HEBC
1115.39 ± 0.12	195	MAYEUR	67	EMUL
1115.6 ± 0.4		LONDON	66	HBC
1115.65 ± 0.07	488	<sup>2</sup> SCHMIDT	65	HBC
1115.44 ± 0.12		<sup>3</sup> BHOWMIK	63	RVUE

NODE=S018M

OCCUR=2

<sup>1</sup>We assume  $CPT$  invariance: this is the  $\bar{\Lambda}$  mass as measured by HARTOUNI 94. See below for the fractional mass difference, testing  $CPT$ .

NODE=S018M;LINKAGE=C

<sup>2</sup>The SCHMIDT 65 masses have been reevaluated using our April 1973 proton and  $K^\pm$  and  $\pi^\pm$  masses. P. Schmidt, private communication (1974).

NODE=S018M;LINKAGE=A

<sup>3</sup>The mass has been raised 35 keV to take into account a 46 keV increase in the proton mass and an 11 keV decrease in the  $\pi^\pm$  mass (note added Reviews of Modern Physics **39** 1 (1967)).

NODE=S018M;LINKAGE=L

# Printr – processing a data node

- Get each measurement from the MEASUREMENT table to determine what columns of information need to be written
- Get alignment from the COLUMN\_HEADER or use standard alignment to determine the position of each of the columns
- Build the column headers
- Get, align, typeset and write the summaries (fit and fit and average values)
- Get, align, typeset, and write the measurements. Order “used” before “not used”



# PDG Listings – Lambda decay modes

---

## **$\Lambda$ DECAY MODES**

NODE=5018235; NODE=5018

Mode		Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	
$\Gamma_1$	$p\pi^-$	$(63.9 \pm 0.5) \%$	DESIG=1
$\Gamma_2$	$n\pi^0$	$(35.8 \pm 0.5) \%$	DESIG=2
$\Gamma_3$	$n\gamma$	$(1.75 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	DESIG=6
$\Gamma_4$	$p\pi^-\gamma$	[a] $(8.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=5
$\Gamma_5$	$pe^-\bar{\nu}_e$	$(8.32 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=4
$\Gamma_6$	$p\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu$	$(1.57 \pm 0.35) \times 10^{-4}$	DESIG=3

[a] See the Listings below for the pion momentum range used in this measurement.

---

LINKAGE=SD

# Printr- generate a table of partial decay modes

- Get alignment information for the decay modes
- Get tabular information from the COLUMN\_HEADER table or use standard tabular alignment
- Format and write the column headers
- Get the partial decay modes from the DECAY table, find and write their best values, and save any decay table footnotes
- When done append any decay table footnotes

# PDG listings – Lambda fit information

---

## CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION

An overall fit to 5 branching ratios uses 20 measurements and one constraint to determine 5 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 10.5$  for 16 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$x_2$	−100			
$x_3$	−2	−1		
$x_5$	46	−46	−1	
$x_6$	0	0	0	0
	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_5$

---

# Printr- write information about fits involving the node

- Generate a paragraph describing the fit
  - Get the type and number of each type of nodes involved in the fit. Use names for each type to put into the paragraph
- Format and write the correlation matrix for the fit

# Summary

- Programs are well structured and reasonably well documented.
- They are complex since they impliment complex algorithms.
- I am the only person that is initmately familiar with the programs
  - I am currently retired.